

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,552	01/12/2001	David Edward Wilson	8394	1164
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION - WEST BLDG. WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 412 6250 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DINH Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H	4	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Anti-co Commence	09/759,552	WILSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later, than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the closed. 	This action is non-final. owance except for formal ma		erits is
Disposition of Claims		·	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-17 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyorrection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docun	rents have been received.		

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachm	ent(s)
---------	--------

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/13/05.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 09/759,552

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-13 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,866,212.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of common subject matter, as follow:

In claims 1 and 13 of the instant application cite the limitations: an electrostatic spraying device having a reservoir, a channel, a power source, a high voltage power supply with a variable output signal in response to a feedback signal, which are fully disclosed in claim 1 and 7 of the '212 patent.

Application/Control Number:

09/759,552 Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perkins et al.

Perkins et al discloses an electrostatic spraying device structured to deliver a product from a reservoir through a channel to a point of dispersal, to electrostatically charge the product via a high power electrode 22 after the product has exited the reservoir and to dispense the product from an exit orifice of a nozzle 21, wherein said device comprises: a power source 10 to supply an electrical charge, and a high voltage power supply 12/13 electrically connected to said power source to charge the high voltage electrode 22 and to supply a variable output signal V_{out} in response to a feedback signal V_F (see figure 1), a high voltage transformer 13, the multiplier circuit 12 with series of capacitors is a portion of the high voltage power supply, the high voltage power supply is encased in a insulative material that prevent electrical conductivity to the surroundings and thus moisture proof(see column 3, lines 6+).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed September 13, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

09/759,552 Art Unit: 3752

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

dqn